UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

MARY L. CHAPPEL,

Plaintiff

v. C-1-09-865

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant

ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate (doc. no. 22) granting in part plaintiff's Motion for Attorney Fees and Costs Under the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA") (doc. no. 18) to which there are no objections.

Upon a *de novo* review of the record, the Court finds that the Magistrate Judge has accurately set forth the controlling principles of law and properly applied them to the particular facts of this case and agrees with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

2

Accordingly, the Court hereby ADOPTS AND INCORPORATES

HEREIN BY REFERENCE the Report and Recommendation of the United

States Magistrate Judge (doc. no. 22). Plaintiff's Motion for Attorney

Fees Under the Equal Access to Justice Act (doc. no. 18) is GRANTED IN

PART. Plaintiff requests an hourly rate increase to \$160.00 per hour;

however, plaintiff has submitted only the Consumer Price Index (CPI) to

support her claim for an increase, which the Sixth Circuit has said is

simply "not enough" to justify an increase. Bryant, 578 F.3d at 450; see

also Bushor v. Comm'r of Soc. Sec., 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 86805 (S.D.

Ohio Aug. 5, 2011)(J. Barrett). Accordingly, plaintiff has failed to meet

her burden of producing appropriate evidence. The defendant shall pay

plaintiff the amount of \$2,375.00 in attorney fees which will satisfy all of

plaintiff's claims for fees, costs and expenses under the EAJA, 28 U.S.C.

§ 2412.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Herman J. Weber

Herman J. Weber, Senior Judge United States District Court